

**Town Hall Debate on Urbanizing Protected Areas – Assessment Rubric**

Level	1	2	3	4
<b>Respect for your team/debate members</b>	Your statements, responses and/or body language were rarely respectful.	Your statements, responses and/or body language were at times respectful.	Your statements and responses were mostly respectful and used appropriate language.	All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and were in appropriate language.
<b>Information</b>	The information that you presented had several inaccuracies OR was usually not clear.	Most information presented in the debate was clear and accurate, but was not usually thorough.	Most information presented in the debate was clear, accurate and thorough.	All information presented in the debate was clear, accurate and thorough.
<b>Use of facts</b>	You didn't have valid supporting evidence for all of your points.	You supported every major point with facts, statistics and/or examples, but the relevance of some was questionable.	You supported every major point with relevant facts, statistics and/or examples.	You supported every major point with several relevant facts, statistics and/or examples.
<b>Presentation Style</b>	Your presentation did not keep the attention of the audience.	You sometimes used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience.	You usually used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience.	You consistently used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience.

<b>Organization</b>	Arguments were not clearly tied to an idea (premise).	All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) but the organization was sometimes not clear or logical.	Most arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion.	All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion.
<b>Understanding of topic</b>	You did not show an adequate understanding of the topic.	You understood the main points of the topic and presented those with ease.	You clearly understood the topic in-depth and presented their information with ease.	You clearly understood the topic in-depth and presented their information forcefully and convincingly.